



# SBWMA TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC) MEETING

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2022 at 2:00 p.m.

VIA ZOOM VIDEO OR TELECONFERENCE MEETING ONLY

Link to Join

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83217046690?pwd=M2luRzIldDlWNVdyVXpYQURndXhsQT09>

Phone number for voice access: 1 (669) 900 9128

Meeting ID: 832 1704 6690

Passcode: 493674

**PURSUANT TO SBWMA RESOLUTION 2022-05, THE MEETING WILL BE HELD BY TELECONFERENCE AND/OR VIDEO CONFERENCE ONLY. THE PUBLIC MAY PARTICIPATE BY JOINING THE ZOOM MEETING**

**<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83217046690?pwd=M2luRzIldDlWNVdyVXpYQURndXhsQT09> AND SUBMITTING THEIR PUBLIC COMMENTS IN A LIVE MEETING FORMAT. ANY EMAILS SENT TO [rethinker@rethinkwaste.org](mailto:rethinker@rethinkwaste.org) WILL BE PROVIDED TO THE TAC MEMBERS PRIOR TO THE MEETING.**

Live verbal public comments may be made by members of the public joining the meeting via Zoom. Zoom access information is provided above. Use the “raise hand” feature (for those joining by phone, press \*9 to “raise hand”) during the public comment period for the agenda item you wish to address. The TAC CHAIR will call on people to speak by name provided or last 4 digits of phone number for dial-in attendees. Please clearly state your full name for the record at the start of your public comment

Written public comments for the record may be submitted in advance by 12:00 p.m. on the meeting date by email to: [rethinker@rethinkwaste.org](mailto:rethinker@rethinkwaste.org) and will be made part of the written record but will not be read verbally at the meeting. Written public comments submitted by email should adhere to the following: 1) Clearly indicate the Agenda Item No. or specify “Public” in the Subject Line for items not on the agenda and 2) Include the submitter’s full name

## TELECONFERENCE PARTICIPANTS

**ALL TAC MEMBERS WILL PARTICIPATE BY TELECONFERENCE AND/OR VIDEO CONFERENCE PURSUANT TO GOVERNOR NEWSOM’S EXECUTIVE ORDER N-25-20**

**PURSUANT TO RALPH M. BROWN ACT, GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54953, ALL VOTES SHALL BE BY ROLL CALL DUE TO ALL TAC MEMBERS PARTICIPATING BY TELECONFERENCE AND/OR VIDEO CONFERENCE**

**ANY REQUEST FOR REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION SHOULD BE ADDRESSED TO CYNDI URMAN AT [CURMAN@RETHINKWASTE.ORG](mailto:CURMAN@RETHINKWASTE.ORG).**

## AGENDA

### 1. Call to Order/Roll Call

### 2. Public Comment

Persons wishing to address the TAC on matters NOT on the posted agenda may do so. Each speaker is limited to three minutes. If there are more than five individuals wishing to speak during public comment, the Chairman will draw five speaker cards from those submitted to speak during this time. The balance of the Public Comment speakers will be called upon at the end of the TAC Meeting. If the item you are speaking on is not listed on the agenda, please be advised that the TAC may briefly respond to statements made or questions posed as allowed under The Brown Act (Government Code Section 54954.2). The TAC’s general policy is to refer items to staff for attention, or have a matter placed on a future TAC agenda for a more comprehensive action or report and formal public discussion and input at that time. ***Speakers may also submit comments via email prior to the meeting by sending those comments to [rethinker@rethinkwaste.org](mailto:rethinker@rethinkwaste.org)***

---

#### MEMBER AGENCIES

BELMONT \* BURLINGAME \* EAST PALO ALTO \* FOSTER CITY \* HILLSBOROUGH \* MENLO PARK \* REDWOOD CITY  
\* SAN CARLOS \* SAN MATEO \* COUNTY OF SAN MATEO \* WEST BAY SANITARY DISTRICT

February 3, 2022

3. **Executive Director's Report** *(Verbal report only)* p. 3
4. **Approval of Consent Calendar**  
 Consent Calendar item(s) are considered to be routine and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion on these items unless members of the TAC, staff or public request specific items be removed for separate action. *Items removed from the Consent Calendar will be moved to the end of the agenda for separate discussion.*
- A. Approval of the Minutes from the June 10, 2021, TAC Meeting **ACTION p. 7**  
 B. Approval of the 2022 Proposed TAC Meeting Calendar **ACTION p. 13**
5. **Administrative Items**  
 A. Election of TAC Chair and Vice Chair **ACTION p. 17**
6. **Discussion on SB 1383 Implementation Planning** p. 21
- Staff Update on Record Keeping and Reporting
  - Discussion on Next Steps for Recovered Organic Materials Procurement
  - Overview of Outreach & Education, Waiver and Contamination Monitoring with SCS Engineers
  - Material Sampling Requirement
7. **Contractor Updates** *(Verbal report only)* p. 25
- A. Recology  
 B. South Bay Recycling
8. **TAC Member Comments**
9. **Adjourn**

---

MEMBER AGENCIES

BELMONT \* BURLINGAME \* EAST PALO ALTO \* FOSTER CITY \* HILLSBOROUGH \* MENLO PARK \* REDWOOD CITY  
 \* SAN CARLOS \* SAN MATEO \* COUNTY OF SAN MATEO \* WEST BAY SANITARY DISTRICT

February 3, 2022



**A Public Agency**

# EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S UPDATE

Agenda Item 3 is a verbal report only at the  
02/10/2022 SBWMA TAC Meeting





**A Public Agency**

# CONSENT CALENDAR



**DRAFT MINUTES**

**SOUTH BAYSIDE WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY  
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
June 10, 2021 – 2:00PM.  
Via Zoom Tele/Video Conference**

**Call to Order: 2:02PM**

**1. Roll Call**

Agency	Present	Absent	Agency	Present	Absent
Belmont	X		Redwood City	X	
Burlingame	X		San Carlos	X	
East Palo Alto		X	San Mateo	X	
Foster City	X		County of San Mateo	X	
Hillsborough	X		West Bay Sanitary District	X	
Menlo Park	X				

**2. Public Comment**

Persons wishing to address the Board on matters NOT on the posted agenda may do so.

Each speaker is limited to two minutes. If there are more than five individuals wishing to speak during public comment, the Chairman will draw five speaker cards from those submitted to speak during this time. The balance of the Public Comment speakers will be called upon at the end of the Board Meeting.

If the item you are speaking on is not listed on the agenda, please be advised that the Board may briefly respond to statements made or questions posed as allowed under The Brown Act (Government Code Section 54954.2). The Board's general policy is to refer items to staff for attention, or have a matter placed on a future Board agenda for a more comprehensive action or report and formal public discussion and input at that time.

None

**3. Executive Director's Update**

Executive Director La Mariana welcomed everyone to the meeting and gave the following updates. He noted that staff has received quotes for the 2021-2022 property insurance and costs continue to go up, part of the cost increase is due to risk in our industry, and part of the increase is due a global increase in claims due to catastrophic events like wildfires, and a decrease in the amount of money available to cover those claims. Staff is actively looking at self-insurance options.

The Agency sponsored battery bill didn't make it out of the senate appropriations committee, so the bill has died for this year. Staff is working with the lobbyist to find out why and restructure it for the following year. The JPA Amendments have achieved 8 of 11 member agency votes in the affirmative, which is enough to move forward. 7 of 11 Member Agencies have voted to move forward with the Recology Franchise

Agreement Amendment One-Bulky Item and Abandoned waste 4<sup>th</sup> route expansion so one more vote is needed before the required 8 to move forward with adding that to Recology's services in 2022.

Staff is currently working on RFP documents for the Shoreway Operations agreement, and the RFP is expected to be released in January 2022, with an award in fall 2022.

SBR has gotten a verbal agreement to continue the VRS contract through the end of the year.

The Organics-to-Energy program has been running, but the money allocated by the Board for operations of the pilot has already run out, so there will be an item on the June Board Agenda to extend that operation through October.

SBR has advised staff on some issues: 1) The stormwater remediation costs and ongoing contract interpretation issue; 2) the CARB deadline requirements for upgrades to their vehicles is \$3.7M and it does not align by one-year with the end of the operations agreement, and 3) they have profitability issues. We have been advised by SBR that there will be a formal request letter for a compensation adjustment on those 3 items.

Vice Chair Lorenz asked about the CARB requirement with the SBR fleet, she wondered if staff would know by the deadline if the contract would be awarded to SBR, and if it was could the costs for the vehicle upgrades go into the new contract. Executive Director La Mariana answered that it will affect who ever is awarded the contract, so it's possible, but staff is not intending to make the decision before the CARB rule goes into effect.

#### 4. Approval of Consent Calendar

Consent Calendar item(s) are considered to be routine and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion on these items unless members of the TAC, staff or public request specific items be removed for separate action. *Items removed from the Consent Calendar will be moved to the end of the agenda for separate discussion.*

##### A. Approval of the Minutes from the May 13, 2021, TAC Meeting

Motion/Second: Cook/Lee

Roll Call Vote: 9-0-1-1

Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Belmont	X				Redwood City	X			
Burlingame	X				San Carlos	X			
East Palo Alto				X	San Mateo			X	
Foster City	X				County of San Mateo	X			
Hillsborough	X				West Bay Sanitary Dist.	X			
Menlo Park	X								

#### 5. Discussion on SB 1383 Implementation Planning

##### A. Discussion of SB 1383 Implementation Update and Next Steps

Staff Carter gave a status update on implementation of the SB 1383 MOU that was conditionally approved at the last TAC meeting. It will go to the Board at the June 24 meeting for approval.

Member Tong commented that the MOU states that the Agency will submit the EARs, but the Member Agencies submit them, so that needs to be clarified. Staff Au answered that the Agency does submit them through a contractor for all of the Member Agencies except the County.

Member Tong also commented that there is a route review definition that's not mentioned anywhere else and thought that should be in the ordinance not the MOU.



The committee discussed letters for non-compliance. Member Tong also commented that he would like to see more flexibility around sending these letters if they were to come from the JPA, the Member agency or Recology. Vice Chair Lorenz thought it would be worded in such a way that either the Member agency or the JPA could do it. She thought having the JPA write the letter but setting it up in a way that the Member agency's letterhead could be used would be a good approach. The committee agreed to use the word "and/or" in this section. Chair Murray wondered if using and/or would cause issues with reporting on notices that have been sent out. Staff Carter answered that this would still be something the SBWMA would track regardless of which agency sends out the letter.

The committee then discussed the waivers section. Member Tong wanted to see more flexibility around whether or not the Member Agencies and the JPA could grant waivers. Staff Carter answered that the SBWMA would take on all aspects of the waiver process, but staff will think through when and how to defer to the jurisdictions. Member Tong thought if there were problematic waiver requests the Member Agencies could work with the JPA on a case-by-case basis. Staff Carter noted that staff would think through language that specifies a clear process but also allows for flexibility by the jurisdiction. Vice Chair Lorenz thought the waiver process could become a political pressure point if this authority gets delegated to the SBWMA without any oversight, and wanted to make sure that which agency had the authority was clearly laid out in the language.

Vice Chair Lorenz noted that at the last meeting she didn't understand the withdraw language and what it would take if a member agency wanted to withdraw, and that she still doesn't understand it in this version. Specifically, what happens with the cost if a member withdraws, and can a member agency be a part of the JPA but not a part of the MOU, because these costs are coming out of co-mingled funds.

Staff Carter then went on to discuss SB 1383 record keeping requirements, for which the Agency is responsible per the MOU. Staff is recommending the use of the Recyclist software tool to manage record keeping, that between both the JPA and the member agencies as there are items that both need to track. Specifically, the member agencies would need to track procurement requirements, and the Recyclist software would allow for streamlining the process. Member Tong noted that the County has used the Recyclist software for a while now, and they've been very happy with it, the service, and the flexibility. Vice Chair Lorenz wondered if the Agency could piggyback on the County's contract to save money. Staff Carter noted that staff investigated it, but it's complicated in that sharing the same service created data access for both parties that where we could see each other's data, and it became clear that technology was a limiting factor in creating a shared account.

Staff Carter then gave an update on discussions with Recology for providing expanded services to comply with SB 1383. Staff is preparing what will be known as amendment two to the franchise agreements which are anticipated to be brought to the member agencies for council decisions late fall 2021. Member Cooke wondered if costs had been discussed at this point. Staff Carter answered that they are currently discussing the methodology for how costs will be determined, and he thought they were close to an approach to begin to estimate costs. Vice Chair Lorenz noted concern for timing given that she would need 2022 rates by the end of September. She asked if there would be a range of costs by then to build a rate model that won't need amending. Executive Director La Mariana answered at the very least staff would have planning level numbers and estimates based on the HF&H compliance planning document and these have been included and anticipated in the budget. Chair Murray asked if the planning numbers were based on the Organics-to-Energy program and would they need to be adjusted since the program was delayed. Executive Director La Mariana answered no, and added that the Recology numbers are still unknown, but hopes to have those numbers in time for the October version of the draft 2022 budget. Chair Murray was concerned that the SB 1383 additional Recology costs would not be part of the rate setting process this year. Executive Director La Mariana noted that these would be amendment two but will not

be part of the compensation application due on June 15, but staff estimates so the member agency could budget. He added that the first step is identify the components of SB 1383 that would align with the existing contract responsibilities, the next step is to fully identify what are the add on services that SB 1383 requires. He noted that to the degree staff can accelerate the amendment two conversations with Recology they will. Member Clark noted that it would be great if it could go into one Prop 2018 process. Vice Chair Lorenz added that if the planning level number was a not to exceed number she could set rates higher the first time then come back and lower them at the second hearing.

B. Recommend approval of the Model Mandatory Organic Waste Disposal Reduction Ordinance to the SBWMA Board of Directors

Staff Carter gave an overview of the SB 1383 requirements that require jurisdictions to have an enforceable ordinance, and background of the development of the model ordinance that will be provided to the member agencies. He did note that there are sections in the model that cannot be changed, and there are sections that require customization by each member agency, and there are hours under Debra Kaufman's contract for member agency support in the customization process.

Vice Chair Lorenz asked if it would be obvious which sections could not be changed and which sections needed customizations. Staff Carter answered that staff can articulate both with more specificity. He noted that the CalRecycle model ordinance was used as a starting point, then staff worked with Debra Kaufman to develop a model ordinance that was more specific to this service area. He gave an example that there are sections that are fill in the blank where its obvious customization is needed, but the model ordinance utilizes the standard three bin approach and that will be changeable in the ordinance.

Staff Carter then went over the sections in the ordinance. Debra Kaufman added that what is currently in the ordinance was sent with the agenda is largely the regulatory requirements, so there is not a lot of flexibility in the ordinance. There is guidance in the document highlighted in yellow and orange where there is flexibility.

The committee discussed enforcement. Member Cooke asked if the code enforcement fees were set by a legislative amount or who would set the fees. Debra Kaufman answered that at the state level there are three specific code sections that address penalty levels for violations of ordinances, but there is an indication that penalty levels should match current administrative penalties for each jurisdiction but not be any lower or larger than the state's numbers. Member Cooke then asked what function of a city would put these penalties into place. Executive Director La Marian answered likely the code enforcement team would be tasked.

Member Tong gave advice to keep some language vague in case things change the ordinance wouldn't have to change, including the number of SBWMA member agencies and the list of non-local entities.

The committee discussed debris box services addressed in the ordinance. Member Tong thought the language in the ordinance would prevent the use of those services, but Debra Kaufman noted that the regulation doesn't preclude using debris boxes, only that if what is in the debris box is organic waste it be collected as organic waste and taken to a facility that recovers those materials. Member Clark noted that there is similar language in the Franchise Agreements and that the words "curbside services" have been added to allow for debris box service to continue.

Member Clark suggested public presentations for residents and business on SB 1383. Staff Carter noted that they are already working on that but are first going through the study session process with the councils.

Peter Schulz Allen suggested some information sharing between Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties regarding compost procurement, and thought the municipal staff could support each other, and offered to come to a future meeting to speak about the procurement requirements.

Member Brown made a motion to recommend the SB 1383 model ordinance with today's recommended changes moving forward to the Board.

Member Tong seconded the motion

Roll Call Vote: 9-0-0-2

Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Belmont	X				Redwood City	X			
Burlingame				X	San Carlos	X			
East Palo Alto				X	San Mateo	X			
Foster City	X				County of San Mateo	X			
Hillsborough	X				West Bay Sanitary Dist.	X			
Menlo Park	X								

## 6. Contractor Updates

### A. Recology

Mike Kelly announced that Recology is busy working on the compensation application which is due next week, and statistics and planning to assist in SB 1383 compliance.

### B. South Bay Recycling

No Report

## 7. TAC Member Comments

Vice Chair Lorenz commented that Foster City is hosting their first ever appointment only shred event, and all the appointments were full 3-weeks ago, and if you're interested in how it goes let me know.

Executive Director La Mariana announced that two TAC Members are retiring June 30, Carol Augustine and Brenda Olwin, both sit on the Finance Committee, so with direction of the Finance Committee he will be reaching out to finance professionals in the service area to replace the positions on the Finance Committee. He also announced that staff is leaning towards not recommending a shredding option at Shoreway.

Member Tong noted that he saw in the budget money for a polystyrene densifier and asked if there was progress. Executive Director La Mariana answered that project is further out but still on the table.

## 8. Adjourn 3:39PM





## STAFF REPORT

---

**To:** SBWMA TAC Members  
**From:** Cyndi Urman, Board Secretary  
**Date:** February 10, 2022 TAC Meeting  
**Subject:** Approval of 2022 TAC Meeting Calendar

---

### Recommendation

It is recommended that the SBWMA Technical Advisory Committee approve the proposed 2022 TAC meeting calendar (attached).

### Summary

Staff recommends a second Thursday of the month meeting in February(10<sup>th</sup>), June (9<sup>th</sup>) and September (8<sup>th</sup>) 2022 from 2-4PM. Additionally, the TAC will meet together with the Board in February (24<sup>th</sup>) for the annual Board/TAC Retreat.

### Analysis

To use the TAC resource at its highest and best use, staff recommends this proposed 3 times a year meeting schedule. The February meeting will focus on SB 1383, the June meeting will focus on Cal Recycle reporting and legislative/regulatory updates. The September meeting will be a study session to focus on reviewing the Contractor compensation application process. Other TAC meetings may also be scheduled, as needed, or if the Board refers item to the TAC for review.

### Background

Over the last several years, the TAC has meet regularly on these topics and as needed as the Board refers topics to the TAC for review.

### Fiscal Impact

Should meetings resume in person in 2022 at the San Carlos library, there is small rental fee of \$65 charged for use of the library conference room, the total fiscal impact of the remainder of the 2022 TAC meetings will be \$130.

### Attachments

Attachment A – Proposed 2022 TAC Meeting Dates



**SOUTH BAYSIDE WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY  
2022 TAC MEETING SCHEDULE**

Meetings of the South Bayside Waste Management Authority Technical Advisory Committee are held on the second\* Thursday of the following months from 2:00 pm to 4:00 pm

Location: Via Zoom Tele or Video Conference or in person if able at City of San Carlos Library, 2nd Floor, Conference Room A, 610 Elm St., San Carlos, CA 94070

February	10	2022
February	24 (Board/TAC Retreat 12-4PM)	2022
June	9	2022
September	8	2022



**A Public Agency**

# ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS





## STAFF REPORT

---

**To:** SBWMA TAC Members  
**From:** Cyndi Urman, Clerk of the Board  
**Date:** February 10, 2022 TAC Meeting  
**Subject:** Election of TAC Chair and Vice Chair

---

### **Recommendation**

The current Committee Chair Roxanne Murray, has retired, so can therefore no longer serve as TAC Chair. Vice Chair Andra Lorenz has been serving as Interim TAC Chair in the absence of a chair. Therefore, it is necessary to elect a new chair and vice chair immediately. The TAC Chair has the following roles and responsibilities:

- a. Conduct TAC meetings.
- b. Review and set TAC meeting agendas with the Executive Director.
- c. Occasionally report to the SBWMA Board of Directors on TAC discussions and recommendations

Staff also recommended that the TAC elect a Vice Chair who shall act in the absence of the Chair, with full powers of the Chair.

### **Background**

Staff recommends the following process for electing a TAC Chair and Vice Chair:

1. Acting Chair calls for nominations for the position of Chair (nominations do not require a second).
2. Motion is adopted to close nominations.
3. Committee votes on nominations in the order in which the nominations were made until a Chair is elected.
4. The new Chair takes the gavel and assumes the office and calls for nominations for Vice Chair and the same procedure is followed for electing the Vice Chair.

### **Fiscal Impact**

None.





**A Public Agency**

# DISCUSSION ON SB 1383 IMPLEMENTATION PLANNING





## STAFF REPORT

**To:** SBWMA TAC Members  
**From:** Tj Carter, Program Manager II, Recycling and Compliance  
**Date:** February 10, 2022 Technical Advisory Committee Meeting  
**Subject:** Discussion on SB 1383 Implementation Planning

### Analysis

As detailed in the SBWMA SB 1383 Memorandum of Understanding recently authorized by the SBWMA Board of Directors and SBWMA Member Agencies, SBWMA staff has been delegated a significant portion of the SB 1383 program responsibilities.

Staff will provide updates on the following three (3) items and TAC member input will be sought during this meeting:

#### 1. Recordkeeping and Reporting

SBWMA has successfully launched the Recyclist software product that has recently been commissioned to track SB 1383 program progress and support the required Implementation Record for each Member Agency. Starting January 1, 2022, each jurisdiction in California is required to maintain records all of implementation components of SB 1383. SBWMA will introduce the Recyclist tool to assist with the upload and recordkeeping of these components. As part of the Recyclist subscription, each Member Agency will receive jurisdiction-level access to their records. This functionality is currently being rolled out and SBWMA staff will provide an update on next steps during the February 10<sup>th</sup> TAC meeting.

The initial reporting for SB 1383 is due April 1, 2022. Each jurisdiction shall report to CalRecycle on its implementation and compliance with the requirements, which will include the following information:

- (1) A copy of ordinances or other enforceable mechanisms adopted.
- (2) The reporting items identified in Section 18994.2(b), which include the following relative to the jurisdiction's implementation of SB 1383 organic waste collection requirements:
  - The type of organic waste collection service(s) provided by the jurisdiction to its generators.
  - The total number of generators that receive each type of organic waste collection service provided by the jurisdiction.
  - If the jurisdiction is implementing an organic waste collection service that requires transport of the contents of containers to a high diversion organic waste processing facility, the jurisdiction shall

identify the Recycling and Disposal Reporting System number of each facility that receives organic waste from the jurisdiction.

- If the jurisdiction allows placement of compostable plastics in containers pursuant to Section 18984.1 or 18984.2, the jurisdiction shall identify each facility that has notified the jurisdiction that it accepts and recovers that material.
- If the jurisdiction allows organic waste to be collected in plastic bags and placed in containers pursuant to Section 18984.1 or 18984.2 the jurisdiction shall identify each facility that has notified the jurisdiction that it can accept and remove plastic bags when it recovers source separated organic waste.

(3) The following contact information:

- The name, mailing address, phone number, and email address of the employee of the jurisdiction that the jurisdiction has designated as the primary contact person for the purposes of receiving communications regarding compliance.
- The name and address of the agent designated by the jurisdiction for the receipt of service of process from the Department for the purposes of enforcement of this chapter if different from (A) above.

SBWMA staff will provide each Member Agencies with the report and supporting materials to submit to CalRecycle prior to March 7, 2022.

## **2. Next Steps for Recovered Organic Materials Procurement**

The SBWMA will present on initial planning and procurement strategies to reach the Recovered Organic Materials Procurement, and will open dialogue to discuss with Member Agency staff to identify additional opportunities.

## **3. Overview of Outreach & Education, Waiver and Contamination Monitoring with SCS Engineers**

On January 27, 2022, the SBWMA Board of Directors approved a two-year contract with SCS Engineers (with an additional potential option year) to support SB 1383 Implementation. The services SCS Engineers will provide includes three main components: Outreach and Education, Waiver Management, and Contamination Monitoring Services. An overview of these tasks include:

### **1. Outreach Services:**

- a. Perform outreach to the remaining ~7,500 businesses and multi-family dwellings that have not yet subscribed to recycling and/or organics services during FY2022-2023 with an option for FY2024, in order to arrange for these properties to subscribe to the service, to determine needed service levels, and to support those generators with compliance.
- b. Generating reports, documenting outreach efforts and status of generator compliance including names of customers, dates of outreach or site visits, and copies of outreach materials distributed.

### **2. Waiver Management:**

- a. Assist SBWMA with management services for waivers that can be granted to multi-family dwellings and commercial generators under SB 1383 for each of the 11 Member Agencies. RethinkWaste will evaluate costs to determine whether full waiver management services will be needed.

- b. Upload data into the Recyclist software tool.

**3. Contamination Monitoring Services:**

- a. Conduct contamination monitoring in each Member Agency service area through annual inspections of randomly selected generator containers on each hauler route for solid waste, recyclable materials, and organic material.
- b. Educate customers that were identified to have contamination during the contamination monitoring study on proper sorting of materials and consequences for future contamination in a manner consistent with SB 1383 regulations.
- c. Maintain records and provide monthly reports to the SBWMA on contamination monitoring efforts pursuant to the reporting requirements of SB 1383 regulations.
- d. Prepare the annual contamination monitoring report to CalRecycle on behalf of each Member Agency.

The full Scope of Work from the approved SCS Engineers contract is in [the January 27, 2022 Board of Directors Agenda Packet \(see agenda item 6A\)](#).

**Background**

In September 2016, SB 1383 (Lara, Chapter 395, Statutes of 2016) established statewide methane emissions reduction targets in an effort to reduce emissions of short-lived climate pollutants in various sectors of California's economy. It includes statewide goals to reduce the disposal of organic waste and recover edible food for human consumption. To accomplish these statewide goals, SB 1383 regulations were developed, which were approved on November 3rd 2020, and include prescriptive requirements for jurisdictions related to recycling and organics collection, inspection, and enforcement policies and programs and edible food recovery. The SBWMA and its Member Agencies need to comply with nearly all SB 1383 requirements by January 1, 2022 with the significant exception that enforcement actions do not need to commence until January 1, 2024.

More information regarding SB 1383 can be found online at [www.calrecycle.ca.gov/organics/slcp/](http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/organics/slcp/).

**Fiscal Impact**

None.







**A Public Agency**

# CONTRACTOR UPDATES

(Verbal Updates only to be given at the  
02/10/2022 TAC Meeting)