

MINUTES

SOUTH BAYSIDE WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
January 26, 2023– 3:00 p.m.
SVCW 1400 Radio Road, Redwood Shores, CA 94065 OR
Via Zoom Tele or Video Conference

Call to Order: 3:03 PM

1. Call to Order/Roll Call

Agency	Present	Absent	Agency	Present	Absent
Belmont	X		Redwood City	X	
Burlingame	X		San Carlos	X	
East Palo Alto		X	San Mateo	X	
Foster City	X		County of San Mateo	X	
Hillsborough	X		West Bay Sanitary District	X	
Menlo Park	X				

2. Public Comment (Closed Session)

Persons wishing to address the Board on matters NOT on the posted agenda may do so. Each speaker is limited to three minutes. If there are more than five individuals wishing to speak during public comment, the Chairman will draw five speaker cards from those submitted to speak during this time. The balance of the Public Comment speakers will be called upon at the end of the Board Meeting. If the item you are speaking on is not listed on the agenda, please be advised that the Board may briefly respond to statements made or questions posed as allowed under The Brown Act (Government Code Section 54954.2). The Board's general policy is to refer items to staff for attention, or have a matter placed on a future Board agenda for a more comprehensive action or report and formal public discussion and input at that time. **Speakers may also submit comments via email prior to the meeting by sending those comments to rethinker@rethinkwaste.org.**

None

3. Executive Director's Report

Executive Director La Mariana welcomed everyone to the meeting and thanked Silicon Valley Clean Water for the use of their conference room. He made the following announcements:

- He congratulated the newly appointed mayors in the service area; Michael Brownrigg, Burlingame; Jon Froomin, Foster City; and Adam Rak, San Carlos. As well as Fran Dehn, who will continue to serve as President at West Bay Sanitary District. He also recognized Cecilia Taylor, who was just appointed Vice Mayor in Menlo Park.
- He welcomed two new Board Members - Adam Loriane, San Mateo; and Warren Slocum, County of San Mateo.
- He asked Board Members to send the Board Clerk an emergency contact phone number.
- He asked Board Members to ensure that each member agency appoints an alternate to ensure that every member agency was represented and had a voice in agency discussions and decisions.
- He noted that there are several vacancies on the Agency committees, and we will be looking to appoint Board Members to fill those vacant positions in agenda item 5B. He noted that staff would love to have

every Board Member (and Agency) represented on a committee.

- The Board retreat has been moved to March, and February will be a regular board meeting.
- He thanked staff member Daphny Pan who recently resigned from her Fellow position at the Agency to join the City of San Jose as an environmental Inspector. He noted that the Fellows program continues to be a successful steppingstone for next steps in environmental careers for the young professionals that come through the program.
- He introduced Jayden Hanan as the Agency's newest Fellow who recently graduated from UC Berkely.
- He noted that there are currently two Fellow vacancies posted on the Agency website and encouraged assistance in recruiting quality candidates.
- He pointed out the Agency's 2022 accomplishments listed on page 13 of the packet. And noted how proud he is of the work of his colleagues and the Agency.
- Staff is working very hard on transition planning for the expiration of the South Bay Recycling (SBR) contract, and the transition to the South Bayside Industries (SBI) contract that will take place on January 1, 2024.
- He announced that Dwight Herring has joined the SBI team and will be serving as general manager with the new contract.
- Recology has hired Phil Couchee to fill in as General Manager for SBR for the important last year of the contract.
- He announced that the Shoreway facility experienced significant flooding during the storms in early January. Shoreway Road was flooded to several feet deep so trucks and staff couldn't get in or out of the facility. The loading tunnel, which is the lowest point of the facility, flooded to about 20 feet so the facility was not operational on Sunday January 1. In addition, the Agency-owned building at 225 Shoreway Road (Recology's Administrative Office Building) flooded and sustained a roof leak. He noted that he would give a full report out at the February meeting when all of the costs for repairs are known, but right now the estimation is about \$100,000 worth of restoration work.
- He gave thanks to Hilary Gans and Recology: Evan Boyd, Mike King, and Robert Orique, for their hard work getting the pumps going and trying to get the water off the property. He noted that the stormwater drainage system was overloaded, and our pumps on site were just too small for the amount of water that needed to be moved. So, Director La Mariana called the City of San Carlos, City of Belmont and County of San Mateo's Public Works' departments and the Belmont Department of Public works DPW Director Peter Brown) came to the facility's rescue by letting the Agency borrow a large pump. After 15 hours of pumping the tunnels were cleared and operations resumed full operations on Tuesday, January 3rd. It should be noted that Monday, January 2nd was a holiday and it was one of two scheduled closure for the site.

He thanked TAC Member Peter Brown, Belmont Public Works Director, and his Belmont Public Works team for making this happen.

- Most of Recology administrative and customer service team has returned to working from home while the admin building's storm-damage repairs proceed. (This work is expected to be completed by early March.
- He also announced that the sink hole that closed Highway 92 also had major operations impacts as all of SBR's long haul trucks that carry solid waste are taking daily trips over highway 92 to the Ox Mountain Landfill. For several days those trucks were going up and around via Pacifica to make their way to the landfill incurring significant overtime.

He then introduced Evan Boyd, General Manager of Recology, who announced several changes to the Recology management team, and put faces to the names.

- Phil Couchee has joined the team as the SBR General Manager. Phil has 35 years of experience in the waste industry. For the last 20 years he served as the General Manager of Recology South Valley in Gilroy, before he thought he retired. Knowing how important the general manager position is to both the

SBWMA and Recology, we're happy to find somebody with a lot of experience and expertise in the waste industry in the general managing role and that he has agreed to take on this position for the next year.

- Mike King is the new Maintenance Manager. He has had a 25-year career in the industry and has spent almost the last 20 years in Recology's maintenance facilities in the Bay Area.
- Sandy Herrera is Recology's new Customer Service Manager. She's been with Recology for 11 years and has 15 years of customer service management.
- Steve Ughe has joined Recology as the Senior Operations Manager. He has 40 plus years in the industry with both Recology and Republic Services.
- Lastly, he noted that Tammy Del Bene has announced her retirement, and will be leaving Recology on March 14, 2023, and she will be sorely missed.

Tammy Del Bene spoke about the bittersweet decision to retire, and that she appreciated the SBWMA staff and board, and the relationships built, she noted she was leaving Recology in good hands.

Executive Director La Mariana made a few more announcements:

- The Organics-to-Energy operation is temporarily paused. In late November, the three technical staff that run the equipment got COVID, so there was no available trained technical staff to cover. More importantly, the in-bound tonnage of source separated organics that has been expected to make this operation economically viable, has just not come back to pre-pandemic levels. Staff is working with Recology to prioritize this situation and secure more material to warrant the reboot of op. Currently in-bounds tons are about 60% of pre-pandemic levels, but 80 to 90% is the planned range to economically justify the operation.
- Finally, Earth Day at Shoreway will be back on April 29. It won't be quite as large of an event as in years past but is a first step back after not being to hold the event during the pandemic.

4. Approval of Consent Calendar

Consent Calendar item(s) are considered to be routine and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion on these items unless members of the Board, staff or public request specific items be removed for separate action. *Items removed from the Consent Calendar will be moved to the end of the agenda for separate discussion.*

- A. Approval of the Minutes from the November 17, 2022, Board of Directors Meeting
- B. Resolution Approving a Third Contract Amendment in the amount of \$25,000 with HF&H Consulting, LLC for Senate Bill (SB) 1383 Planning and Implementation Services
- C. Resolution Approving Extension of the MOU with Central Marin Sanitary District for Organics-to-Energy Material Processing
- D. Resolution Authorizing a change in Officers of the City Redwood City to Manage the SBWMA's Financial Investments in Association with Administrative Services Agreement, effective July 1, 2019
- E. Resolution Authorizing the Executive Director to Execute a Contract with Final Option Corp dba Pacific Printing for Printing and Mailing Services in 2023 not to exceed \$148,350
- F. Resolution Approving Updated Investment Policy for 2023
- G. Approval of Quarterly Investment Report for the Quarter Ended September 30, 2022
- H. Resolution of the SBWMA Board of Directors finding that meetings of the Board of Directors, Technical Advisory Committee, and all Board appointed Brown Act Committees held via hybrid formally protects against the ongoing and imminent health and safety risks posed to COVID-19 and determining that all such meetings will continue to be held in hybrid format to allow attendance in person or remotely pursuant to California Government Code section 54953(e)

Member Rak pulled agenda item 4H

Member Froomin pulled agenda item 4B

Motion/Second: Rak/Froomin (Items A, C, D, E, F, G)

Roll Call Vote: 10-0-0-1

Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Belmont	X				Redwood City	X			
Burlingame	X				San Carlos	X			
East Palo Alto				X	San Mateo	X			
Foster City	X				County of San Mateo	X			
Hillsborough	X				West Bay Sanitary Dist.	X			
Menlo Park	X								

Discussion on 4B

Member Froomin asked for some clarification to understand this contract amendment. He noted that he understood that \$30,000 was already allocated for the purpose of HF&H negotiating with Recology.

Staff Carter answered that we've used about \$8k of the \$30k already allocated for this purpose.

Member Froomin commented that HF&H negotiated with Recology before and didn't come to an agreement, so we hired SCS for 2022-2023 to cover those tasks. So, he wanted clarification if this new \$25k request was to negotiate with Recology again for the exact same tasks that SCS is now doing.

Staff Carter answered that the SCS contract is only through 2023, but we need a long-term solution for these services because these are annual requirements per SB1383. Recology has a new general manager and Group VP, and staff feels like we are finally in a place to have productive conversations this time around. We are now a year into conducting those services and have a better sense of what is really involved, so staff is ready to circle back, and having HF&H provide a technical analysis of the cost proposal from Recology and how it would impact the Agency.

Member Froomin asked if SCS could still be a long-term partner for these services.

Staff Carter answered that having a contractor provide these outreach services is still an option. Staff is very satisfied with the work that SCS has provided. Given all the requirements on SB 1383, from route reviews to education and outreach, having the waste hauler provide these services is a solution that could really fit. That said, we're looking for a cost-effective solution.

Member Froomin commented that his concern with this amendment was that the Agency will be spending \$55,000 to come to a solution, that has already been attempted, but expecting a different outcome.

Executive Director La Mariana added that there are two categories of services that were requested. The parts of the services that the contract with SCS covers are to develop and launch SB 1383-mandated program. It has always been staff's intention to tuck the SB 1383 service requirements into the existing franchise agreement infrastructure, for which cost proposals from Recology need to be evaluated. Additionally, there are collection and support service requirements in SB 1383 that SCS cannot provide and does not want to provide. Those cost proposal numbers from Recology will get very large, so staff need HF&H's analytical help because of their extensive industry expertise to evaluate the anticipated Recology cost proposal which is due to staff on February 17. He also added in terms of scale when this was originally being discussed the costs were in the \$950,000 range for collection services.

Member Froomin asked if the agency hadn't been doing, or needed to do, the collection services part of SB 1383 requirements.

Executive Director La Mariana noted that SCS has been working on the outreach portion and getting new accounts signed up for the required services as part of the launch, but a more holistic approach is needed moving forward, and ultimately have it get folded into the annual compensation application process each year.

Discussion on 4H

Member Rak noted that San Carlos is spearheading a letter asking for relaxation of some of the Brown Act requirements particularly when it comes to committees, and they've talked to some other regional boards about either sending our own letter or signing on to the existing letters, and he asked to have that conversation with the board.

Counsel Savaree suggested having this conversation at agenda item 5E which is the discussion of AB 2449.

Motion/Second: Rak/Froomin (Items B & H)

Roll Call Vote: 10-0-0-1

Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Belmont	X				Redwood City	X			
Burlingame	X				San Carlos	X			
East Palo Alto				X	San Mateo	X			
Foster City	X				County of San Mateo	X			
Hillsborough	X				West Bay Sanitary Dist.	X			
Menlo Park	X								

5. Administration and Finance

A. Election of SBWMA Board Chair and Vice Chair for 2023

Member Rak nominated Chair Aguirre for another term as Chair
There were no other nominations.

Roll Call Vote: 10-0-0-1

Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Belmont	X				Redwood City	X			
Burlingame	X				San Carlos	X			
East Palo Alto				X	San Mateo	X			
Foster City	X				County of San Mateo	X			
Hillsborough	X				West Bay Sanitary Dist.	X			
Menlo Park	X								

Member Brownrigg nominated Member Rak for Vice Chair
There were no other nominations.

Roll Call Vote: 10-0-0-1

Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Belmont	X				Redwood City	X			
Burlingame	X				San Carlos	X			
East Palo Alto				X	San Mateo	X			
Foster City	X				County of San Mateo	X			
Hillsborough	X				West Bay Sanitary Dist.	X			
Menlo Park	X								

Chair Aguirre noted that she was honored to serve again and keep a leadership consistency at the Agency, since last year's Vice Chair decided not to run for office again.

- B. Resolution Approving 2023 Vacant SBWMA Committee appointments to:
 - One Board Member and one TAC Member to the Public Education & Outreach Committee
 - One Board Member to the Legislative Committee
 - Two Board Members to the Zero Waste Committee
 - One Board Member to the Finance Committee

The following Board Members were appointed to fill the vacancies on the Agency committees.

Member Royse will serve on the Legislative Committee.
 Member Froomin and Member Loraine will serve on the Zero Waste Committee
 Member Dehn will serve on the Finance Committee
 Member Taylor and TAC Member Pa’uli will serve on the Public Education and Outreach Committee

The Board reiterated that these committee meetings should not be Brown Act meetings and be allowed to meet virtually.

C. Resolution Approving Fifth Amendment to the Executive Director’s Employment Contract

Counsel Savaree gave an overview of the staff report and noted the changes in the contract the board recommended from the closed session in November.

Member Loraine pointed out that there is a typo in the report it should be a salary of \$213,000 in 2019, but the report says \$223,000. He also asked why the decision wasn’t reached at the time of the closed session.

Counsel Savaree answered that these kinds of contracts cannot be approved in closed session, but performance evaluations are done in closed session, so at the time of the closed session the Board gave direction to make these amendments to the contract and bring the decision forward to public session.

Motion/Second: Rak/Froomin

Roll Call Vote: 10-0-0-1

Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Belmont	X				Redwood City	X			
Burlingame	X				San Carlos	X			
East Palo Alto				X	San Mateo	X			
Foster City	X				County of San Mateo	X			
Hillsborough	X				West Bay Sanitary Dist.	X			
Menlo Park	X								

D. Resolution Ratifying the Executive Director’s Proclaiming of a Local Emergency Related to the Severe Wind and Rainstorms that Commenced on December 31, 2022

Counsel Savaree gave an overview of the staff report and noted that during the storms in early January the Executive Director issued two proclamations of emergency. In accordance with the Agency’s purchasing policy those need to be brought to the board at the next meeting in order to be ratified. The ratification allows for staff to move forward immediately with the repair work without bidding.

Motion/Second: Froomin/Taylor

Roll Call Vote: 10-0-0-1

Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Belmont	X				Redwood City	X			

Burlingame	X				San Carlos	X			
East Palo Alto				X	San Mateo	X			
Foster City	X				County of San Mateo	X			
Hillsborough	X				West Bay Sanitary Dist.	X			
Menlo Park	X								

E. Discussion on Planning for Return to In Person Meetings of the Board of Directors, Technical Advisory Committee and Board Appointed Committees

Counsel Savaree gave an overview of the staff report and that staff is seeking Board direction on these items:

- Does the board still want to provide a Zoom option for the public and staff after March 1, 2023?
- If the board decides to allow Board members to teleconference per the Brown Act prior to the pandemic does the Board want to set any limits around that allowance?
- Does the board want to support San Carlos in their effort to amend AB2449, to give greater ability to determine teleconferencing options for Board and committee meetings?

Member Froomin asked for clarification around the just cause requirement for allowing teleconferencing in AB2449, vs. the allowance for long term teleconferencing.

Counsel Savaree answered that the Brown Act has allowed for teleconferencing for a number of years, it requires the address where the remote board member is attending the meeting from to be included as an alternate location for public to attend the meeting. So the address is included on the agenda and has to be posted on the door of the alternate location. Many elected officials have raised privacy concerns about this being their only option going forward to participate in remote meetings. And staff is seeking direction, does the Board want to put any limits around this allowance so that a Board Member can't attend from a remote location permanently. She noted that the just cause requirements are related to AB2449, and don't have the same posting requirements as the Brown Act.

Member Froomin commented that he liked the ability to take meetings from his own City Hall, and have that posted on the agenda, and also that he 100% supported San Carlos in their movement to make further adjustments around remote meetings to AB 2449.

Member Royse didn't see any downside in allowing a board member the flexibility to participate by teleconference at a remote location if they so choose.

Chair Aguirre noted that the downside would be opening up a Board Member's personal address to the public.

Counsel Savaree added that the only concern that she's heard expressed from other Boards and Councils is that members may never come to another meeting, and does this board want to have virtual board meetings forever. She noted that some of the boards she works with are enacting limits to require some in person participation.

Vice Chair Rak asked if there was a requirement to have an in-person quorum.

Counsel Savaree answered that there is a requirement to have a quorum within the jurisdiction, but not in person, so having remote meetings with each of you participating at your own City Hall would be an option.

Vice Chair Rak noted that he thought this was a flexible option, but to possibly designate a couple of meetings per year that the board committed to meeting in person, possibly the retreat and when approving the budget.

Member Royse commented that he supports getting back to in person meetings particularly for the full board, and also supported flexibility when Board members couldn't make an in person meeting. But he was interested in creating increased meeting attendance flexibility for the committee meetings.

Chair Aguirre asked if the Board had to decide today on limits, could this be a retreat topic.

Counsel Savaree answered that the Board could continue this conversation at the retreat, staff just needs direction today on whether or not to continue to provide a Zoom option for the public. And do you want to support the letter from San Carlos asking the Board to support requesting amendments to AB 2449.

Member Loriane commented that in the staff report that was a concern about Zoom going down and needing to pause the meeting until service was restored, he asked if there was any history of that at this Board. He also noted that he supported keeping Zoom as an option especially for the public to participate in the meetings, and in general he was in favor of increasing the availability and flexibility of people to join via Zoom.

Counsel Savaree noted that this committee has never lost Zoom during a meeting, but she has had experience at other agencies she works with.

Chair Aguirre asked if this would also apply to the TAC members.

Counsel Savaree answered yes, the TAC and all standing committees are subject to the Brown Act and would therefore fall under the same regulations.

Chair Aguirre added just for information that this Board doesn't get a lot of public participation, not that the decision should be based on that.

Counsel Savaree summarized based on the discussion that staff should 1) continue to provide for a Zoom option for public after March 1, 2) agendaize for the retreat a discussion about ad hoc versus standing committees, and 3) issue a letter of support of modifications to AB 2449 to allow for more flexibility around future public meetings. She noted since that last point is an action item, the Board should take a vote.

Roll Call Vote on staff sending a letter of support for AB 2449 modifications

Roll Call Vote: 8-0-1-2

Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Belmont	X				Redwood City	X			
Burlingame				X	San Carlos	X			
East Palo Alto				X	San Mateo			X	
Foster City	X				County of San Mateo	X			
Hillsborough	X				West Bay Sanitary Dist.	X			
Menlo Park	X								

6. Collection and Recycling Program Support and Compliance

- A. Hearing on Recology San Mateo County's Application for Special Compensation Review for Additional Compensation for COVID-19 Pandemic-Related Costs; Adoption of Resolution

Executive Director La Mariana noted that staff recommends continuing this item to February. There was a letter submitted by Recology very recently, and there wasn't sufficient time for staff to provide analysis for the Board.

Item continued to February.

7. Shoreway Operations and Contract Management

- A. SBWMA Board Actions regarding the Shoreway Site Optimization Study:
- 1) Review and Accept SCS Engineer's FINAL Shoreway Environmental Center's Site Optimization Study and Design Development Starting Point Findings/Recommendations Report, and
 - 2) Review and Approve Staff's Proposal to Retain KNN Public Finance's services in an Amount Not To Exceed the Executive Director's Authority Pursuant to SBWMA's Purchasing Policies to Work with the Finance Committee to Formulate a Recommendation On Feasible Funding Sources for an Anticipated Future Site Master Plan's Capital Improvement Projects

Executive Director La Mariana gave an overview and background of the project. He noted that the 16-acre regional facility that the Agency owns and operates has been working hard since 1982, and in that time has processed over 18 million tons of material. It is time to review the world and how the industry has changed since then. This project examines what the facility should look like during the next 40 years. In April of 2022 as a result of an RFP a contract was awarded to SCS Engineers to conduct a site optimization study, as a pre step to a master planning process, which would be the next step in this multi-year/multi step process. The site optimization study was meant to look critically at how the facility will operate in the future to fulfill the needs of the Agency's current 430,000 residents and 11,000 businesses. This site optimization study is a high concept discussion at this point, the agency is not bound to anything at this stage of the discussion. He added that the process to get to the proposed future site options you see today includes input from over a dozen stakeholder's meetings to gather information and ideas for future use of the site. Today, staff recommends the acceptance of SCS Engineers' final Shoreway site optimization study and design development as a starting point to the second recommendation of beginning the master planning process that staff believes to be the next logical step in this process.

Member Slocum now absent 4:34PM

Member Brownrigg noted that he needed to leave the meeting early, so requested his comment be taken before the presentation. He noted that he has significant concerns about a \$100 million bond to build this out. Even if it isn't definitive, this one of these decisions in which every time there's a little decision that doesn't feel like a big commitment, then you look back and you find you're committed. So, he suggested that whatever else the Agency does, he thought we need to revisit talking to the county because the reason this is so expensive is because of the need to cram it all on those 16 acres. He noted that it was difficult to get buy in to build the site out 20 years ago, and he worried about the ability to get buy in for a \$100 million bond.

Executive Director La Mariana thanked Member Brownrigg for his comments and noted that he would like to have the conversation with Board Member Slocum.

Member Brownrigg now absent 4:36PM

Executive Director La Mariana then gave a presentation on the site optimization study, the current conditions and concerns, planning considerations, and assumptions.

Member McCune now absent 4:49PM

Tim Flanigan of SCS Engineers continued the presentation noting that this project is really a site survivability study. He reviewed the project goals, 1) to improve operational flow and worker safety, 2) to address infrastructure gaps for mandated material handling and processing programs and 3) to create a world class environmental education facility. He then went over the 3 site options, and noted that SCS recommends the base option which provides the highest number of parking spaces, provides optimal area for SB 1383 organics management, and provides the most efficient and safe traffic management.

Member Froomin asked for clarification noting that In the staff report information it indicates that option 1A has 189 parking spaces, and here in the presentation it says 163.

Tim Flanigan noted that is an error that was caught by your staff, option 1A has 163 parking spaces. In the base option there are 189 spaces because of the removal of bin storage to an offsite location which you will see as we go through the three options.

Tim Flanigan continued the presentation going over the features of the base option.

Chair Aguirre advised staff that time had run out of the meeting, and the Board continued this item to the February meeting.

Member Rak asked how long the proposed easement on Twin Dolphin is.

Evan Williams of SCS Engineers answered that the proposed easement is 30 feet wide and 225-250 feet long, he also noted that the existing easement is not being used, so perhaps you could abandon the existing easement in exchange for this proposed easement.

The Board then continued this item to February.

8. Public Education Outreach and Legislation

- A. Resolution Approving the Execution of a Two-Year Contract with Gigantic Idea Studio for \$125,000 for Outreach Campaigns

Staff Rosales Jose noted that this item would only take one to two minutes, but needed to be approved in January for staff to remain on schedule for the 2023 education and outreach campaigns. She then gave a brief overview of the staff report.

Motion/Second: Taylor/Dehn

Roll Call Vote 7-0-0-4

Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	Agency	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Belmont				X	Redwood City	X			
Burlingame				X	San Carlos	X			
East Palo Alto				X	San Mateo	X			
Foster City	X				County of San Mateo				X
Hillsborough	X				West Bay Sanitary Dist.	X			
Menlo Park	X								

- B. Resolution Approving the 2023-2024 Legislative and Regulatory Platform

This item was continued to the next Board meeting due to time.

9. Informational Items Only (no action required)

- A. Update on the Status of SB 1383 Implementation and Compliance
- B. 2023 Legislative and Regulatory Update
- C. 2023 Finance and Rate Setting Calendar
- D. Update on Technical Contracts issued between September and December 2022
- E. Check Register Detail for October, November and December 2022
- F. 2023 Meeting Planning Guide

10. Board Member Comments

11. Adjourn 5:10PM