SBWMA TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
THURSDAY MAY 11, 2023 at 2:00 p.m.
San Mateo County Transit District Office
1250 San Carlos Ave
4th Floor Dining Room
San Carlos, CA 94070

The public may observe/participate in Committee meetings using remote public comment options or attending in person. Committee members shall attend in person unless remote participation is permitted by law.

TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE IN PERSON
Masking is not required but according to the California Department of Public Health guidelines, people at higher risk for severe illness should consider masking. To help maintain public health and safety, we respectively request that people NOT attend in-person if they are experiencing symptoms associated with COVID-19 or are otherwise ill and likely contagious (e.g., respiratory illnesses).

To address the Committee on any item – whether on the posted agenda or not – please fill out a Request to Speak Form and submit it to the Board Clerk. Speakers are not required to submit their name or address.

REMOTE PARTICIPATION
Members of the public may participate in public Agency meetings by logging into the Zoom: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89081988165?pwd=WForYklTNkw0ejhQWHp0WIR4Ymz5z09
Meeting ID: 890 8198 8165 Passcode: 521695

Written public comments for the record may be submitted in advance by 12:00 p.m. on the meeting date by email to: rethinker@rethinkwaste.org and will be made part of the written record but will not be read verbally at the meeting. Written public comments submitted by email should adhere to the following: 1) Clearly indicate the Agenda Item No. or specify “Public” in the Subject Line for items not on the agenda and 2) Include the submitter’s full name. You may also use the raise hand feature on Zoom to enter a verbal public comment.

*Pursuant to Ralph M. Brown Act, government code section 54953, all votes shall be by roll call if any members of the board of directors are participating by teleconference and/or video conference.

AGENDA

1. Call to Order/Roll Call
2. Public Comment
   Persons wishing to address the Board on matters NOT on the posted agenda may do so. Each speaker is limited to three minutes. If there are more than five individuals wishing to speak during public comment, the Chairman will draw five speaker cards from those submitted to speak during this time. The balance of the Public Comment speakers will be called upon at the end of the Board Meeting. If the item you are speaking on is not listed on the agenda, please be advised that the Board may briefly respond to statements made or questions posed as allowed under The Brown Act (Government Code Section 54954.2). The Board's general policy is to refer items to staff for attention, or have a matter placed on a future Board agenda for a more comprehensive action or report and formal public discussion and input at that time. Speakers may also submit comments via email prior to the meeting by sending those comments to rethinker@rethinkwaste.org.

3. Executive Director's Report (Verbal Update) p.3
4. Approval of Consent Calendar
   Consent Calendar item(s) are considered to be routine and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion on these items unless members of the Board, staff or public request specific items be removed for separate action. Items removed from the Consent Calendar will be moved to the end of the agenda for separate discussion.
   A. Approval of the Minutes from the March 9, 2023, TAC Meeting ACTION p. 7

5. Update on SB 1383 Implementation p. 15

6. Discussion on 2022 Electronic Annual Report (EAR) p. 21

7. Contractor Updates p. 25
   • Recology
   • SBR

8. Committee Member Comments

9. Adjourn
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S UPDATE

Agenda Item 3 is a verbal report only at the 05/11/2023 SBWMA TAC Committee Meeting
CONSENT CALENDAR
DRAFT MINUTES
SOUTH BAYSIDE WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY
MEETING OF THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
March 9, 2023, 3PM.
Silicon Valley Clean Water, 1400 Radio Road, Redwood Shores CA 94065
Teleconference Location: Belmont City Hall, 1 Twin Pines Lane, Belmont, CA 94402
Teleconference Location: 1021 El Dorado, Vallejo, CA 94590

Call to Order: 3:07PM

1. Roll Call

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Absent</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belmont</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X (4:01PM)</td>
<td>Redwood City</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlingame</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>San Carlos</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Palo Alto</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>San Mateo</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster City</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>County of San Mateo</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillsborough</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>West Bay Sanitary District</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menlo Park</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Member Ramirez announced that he would use one of his two emergency exemptions to attend virtually due to the storm.
All members present voted in favor to allow Member Ramirez to attend virtually.

2. Public Comment

Persons wishing to address the Board on matters NOT on the posted agenda may do so.

Each speaker is limited to two minutes. If there are more than five individuals wishing to speak during public comment, the Chairman will draw five speaker cards from those submitted to speak during this time. The balance of the Public Comment speakers will be called upon at the end of the Board Meeting.

If the item you are speaking on is not listed on the agenda, please be advised that the Board may briefly respond to statements made or questions posed as allowed under The Brown Act (Government Code Section 54954.2). The Board's general policy is to refer items to staff for attention, or have a matter placed on a future Board agenda for a more comprehensive action or report and formal public discussion and input at that time.

None

3. Executive Director’s Report

Executive Director La Mariana welcomed everyone to the meeting and gave the following updates:
At the April Board meeting there will be an update on the costs of the storm damage and the restoration activities that fall into three categories: 1) restoration of 225 Shoreway Road, the Recology Admin building that was flooded during the January storms; 2) repair and replacement work on the damaged tunnel pumps; and 3) increased transportation and overtime costs due to the sink hole that formed on Highway 92.

The 2023 Shred and eWaste event calendar is posted on the RethinkWaste website.

April 29 will be Earth Day at Shoreway which will be an in-person event. There will be a clothing and puzzle swap and the event will focus on reuse.

The RethinkWaste administrative team will need to move by June 30, 2024, so he is looking into options that the Board will likely discuss in closed session in April.

The Operations transition between SBR and SBI is going smoothly.

With all of the repair work going on at Shoreway staff are being proactive and taking the opportunity to do a LED lighting retrofit.

The solar panels that were installed in 2012 are part of a Power Purchase Agreement, and at the cost of this agreement they are being replaced over a 5-week period in March/April.

4. Approval of Consent Calendar

Consent Calendar item(s) are considered to be routine and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion on these items unless members of the TAC, staff or public request specific items be removed for separate action.

Items removed from the Consent Calendar will be moved to the end of the agenda for separate discussion.

A. Approval of the Minutes from the September 8, 2022, TAC Meeting

Motion/Second: Cooke/Lee
Roll Call Vote: 6-0-1-4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Abstain</th>
<th>Absent</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Abstain</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belmont</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Redwood City</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlingame</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Carlos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Palo Alto</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Mateo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster City</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>County of San Mateo</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillsborough</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>West Bay Sanitary Dist.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menlo Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Staff Update and Review of Recology San Mateo Senate Bill (SB) 1383 Cost Proposal

Executive Director La Mariana gave an update on the process being discussed today, but noted that it didn’t include the details of the proposal.

Staff Carter gave an overview of the staff report, and background of why there is a need to have this discussion with the TAC. He noted that on the residential side there is healthy 3-bin system in place, so the focus has been on commercial and multi-family accounts. About 7,500 accounts were identified as needing to be brought into compliance and as of now about 1,500 have been subscribed. He gave the history of the work the Agency has done on behalf of the Member Agencies to help the Member Agencies comply with SB 1383, and how to approach long term considerations for complying with SB 1383. Which is why staff worked with Recology to set up a strategy on compliance that led to this proposal. He then went over the timeline to execute a Franchise Agreement recommendation at the SBWMA Board level, followed by Member Agencies then amending their franchise agreements via amendment 2.
Executive Director La Mariana noted that the current proposal from Recology is very much in alignment with what staff was expecting to see. There was a proposal about a year and half ago that was not in alignment with cost expectations which stopped the discussion and prompted staff to engage in the work with SCS Engineers, but staff had always envisioned a long-term scenario with Recology because they are a core part of the mandated requirements. The costs come in two categories: one, expand the Waste Zero Specialists, because this program is really labor intensive; and two, a step up in collection operations due to increased tons. He noted that after the timeline with approval of the Franchise Agreement amendment Staff Carter mentioned these costs would be tucked into the annual contractor’s compensation review process annually and get institutionalized.

Member Johnson asked what the shift was from the last proposal that makes it more acceptable to staff.

Executive Director La Mariana answered that the previous proposal which staff received from Recology was much larger from a cost standpoint and due to that staff never got to a point where it was brought forward for consideration. This proposal is much more in alignment with expectations and is appropriately and thoughtfully constructed.

Member Johnson commented that he has been impressed with the work Recology’s waste zero team has done with him for the City of San Mateo and thought it was a wise move to continue down this path for moving forward with these services.

Member Mello asked if the SCS contract that has been providing these services for two years was paid for via raising rates.

Executive Director La Mariana answered that the $685K that has been included in the RethinkWaste budget for the last two years and therefore is included in rates. Costs that have been going to the SCS contract is going to remain, because those back office tasks will just shift to Recology. What is new is on the operations side and the increase in costs will come with increase in labor, those costs will be captured in the annual compensation process.

Chair Lorenz commented that with the new tons there will be new accounts that have signed up and will be paying rates that will help offset the costs.

Executive Director La Mariana answered yes there will be new revenue in addition to new costs, but staff doesn’t believe it will completely offset the costs because some of the Member Agencies offer a subsidy discount for compost services.

Chair Lorenz added that it may be time for the Member Agencies to discuss no longer offering a subsidy since SB 1383 requires composting service. Maybe Member Agencies don’t need to offer incentives for participation through discounts.

Chair Lorenz then added that she would be interested in having a conversation around whether this can be done without a Franchise Agreement amendment. She didn’t think there needed to be a franchise agreement to add service.
Executive Director La Mariana noted that Recology would be making a rather large investment in capital to add these collection routes, and he thought an amendment would protect their interests. He also noted that the operational changes wouldn’t require an amendment but the administrative services would.

The committee discussed the possibility of a pilot for a year before amending the agreement, and many commented that avoiding a Franchise agreement amendment would be ideal.

Evan Boyd General Manager of Recology noted that this doesn’t necessarily need an amendment from a service perspective, but the proposal for the administrative portion - the additional staffing, data analysis and reporting that were not contemplated in the original Franchise Agreement - may require an amendment. He also noted that he didn’t think a pilot fit in because these requirements already exist. The reason there is currently a contractor is because these requirements already exist and Recology didn’t have the resources under the current scope to complete those requirements. So, a pilot for administrative requirements that already exists doesn’t make sense.

Member Clark asked if it had to be a Waste Zero Specialist position.

Evan Boyd answered that it may not, but the job requirements of the current Waste Zero Specialists tie to the SB 1383 requirements it’s similar work, it will just move from a blitz approach to annual requirements.

Executive Director La Mariana added that there is a decision that needs to be made because the SCS contract was only for 2 years and expires at the end of 2023.

Member Mello asked if the SCS contract could be extended to allow for more time to figure out the operational impacts.

Staff Carter answered the reason the contract was set to end in 2023 is because there is a shift in 2024 to enforcement of SB 1383 regulations. So, there is a shift from getting customers signed up to become compliant to enforcing that compliance. Things like, informing MFD tenants about requirements within 14 days of moving in, is there proper signage, are restaurants compliant etc. So, if we were to just amend and extend the SCS contract we would not be fully compliant with SB 1383.

The committee discussion summarized that the goal is the have the complete package and cost impact rolled into the 2024 compensation application.

5. **Update on SB 1383 Implementation**

Staff Carter gave an update on SB 1383 implementation in 3 categories: record keeping, outreach and procurement.

**Record Keeping** – He reminded members of the requirements for record keeping, particularly the requirement for recycled content paper procurement which requires all paper products from paper to napkins to have at least 30% recycled content beginning Jan 1, 2022. All of those purchases need to be reported. He offered assistance to member agency staff of using the Recyclist database to track these product purchases. He also added that he has a list of 1383 compliant vendors that can provide recycled content paper products.
Chair Lorenz noted that if recycled content paper is more expensive the law allows for non-recycled paper purchases.

**Outreach and Technical Assistance** – This is one of the areas that SCS is assisting RethinkWaste with on behalf of all of the Member Agencies. He gave an update on the list of generators that were out of compliance. In 2022 SCS contacted 2,300 generators, there are still a lot to go, so that contact will continue through the beginning of this year. Then this summer the goal is to lay groundwork for enforcement, which is up to each Member Agency. RethinkWaste will support Member Agencies, but enforcement is up to each Member Agency.

Member Cooke asked what cities are doing for enforcement, is it a code violation.

Staff Carter answered that in each member agency’s 1383 ordinance it lays out the process for enforcement. Generally, there are three notices of violations that go out, and then member agency’s go forward with a civil code violation.

**Organics Procurement Targets** – He noted that thanks to legislative efforts by RethinkWaste procurement targets are 30% this year. All member agencies are part of the County’s recourse conservation corps compost project, but that only gets Member Agencies as of yet. He is looking into ways for staff to help member agencies plan for procurement requirements as they ramp up.

The TAC members agreed they need all the help they can get to meet procurement requirements, as next year it’s 65% and then in 2025 100% of procurement targets must be met.

Lastly Staff Carter noted RethinkWaste continues to do reporting on our Member Agencies’ behalf, and that 1383 reporting has merged with EAR reporting, and right now there is an RFQ out for those reporting services.

### 7. Discussion on future meetings of the TAC

Counsel Savaree gave background information about the ability for public officials to attend meetings remotely during Covid, now that the emergency order has expired. She explained the three options for remote participation. The board has asked staff to look into additional location options for future meeting locations.

Executive Director added that the retreat will not be at Silicon Valley Clean Water, we will keep you posted on locations. And staff is looking for alternative meeting locations going forward.

Chair Lorenz asked why the TAC meeting has to be a Brown Act meeting.

Staff Urman answered that the Board has asked to have this discussion at the retreat about committees not being Brown Act meetings and just the Board being a Brown Act meeting. However, since that Board directed Counsel Savaree to meet with all the municipal attorneys in the County and the legal advice out of that meeting was that any committee appointed by an elected board has to meet in a Brown Act meeting.

Counsel Savaree added that San Carlos has asked someone in the state legislature to sponsor legislation to allow for changes, and her office will keep watching that, but until then this is the letter of the law.
8. Contractor Update

Recology Update – Evan Boyd gave the following updates to the committee.
- The restoration continues of 225 Shoreway - the Recology Admin building - that was damaged in the flooding on New Year’s Day. He noted that they hope to have repairs completed and have staff back in the building by the end of April.
- He noted that December 26 to January 13 there was an EV pilot of a collection truck. There were a lot of good outcomes of the pilot, and the Recology drivers liked this Mack version better than previous pilots, but the technology isn’t quite there yet. Only about 50% of a normal route was collected on a charge, and the price is currently double the cost of a current collection vehicle.
- Collection services have not been interrupted during all of the storms, there were no major service impacts, and they don’t expect any with the current storms coming this week.

SBR Update – Phil Couche introduced himself and gave his background, he will be working as the General Manager of SBR for this year and helping to manage the transition. He gave the following updates.
- There was no significant delay with the highway 92 closure, operations were able to travel up and around Pacifica to keep material moving.
- SBR is currently 60% complete in transitioning to CARB compliant trucks per the new law and they expect to be finished by the end of March.
- They have completed the retrofit of the tunnel pumps, which failed during the first storms in January, but have been working in the subsequent storms, and they have diesel backups as well.
- Material coming into the MRF has been wet, so that has affected efficiency at the MRF, but the marketing team has been able to move the material, and we haven’t had to store anything outside.
- SBR is currently renegotiating the contract with VRS and hope to have that completed by the end of the month for a contract through the end of the year.

Member Mello asked about the compost emissions requirements and how soon that infrastructure would need to be implemented.

Executive Director La Mariana answered that this discussion was put on the shelf during COVID, but we’ve been told it’s coming, and it might be fast as the transition time might be quick. The Agency’s lobbyist is keeping an eye on any changes for us.

9. TAC Member Comments

10. Adjourn 4:53PM
SB 1383 IMPLEMENTATION UPDATES
STAFF REPORT

To: RethinkWaste TAC Members
From: Tj Carter, Program Manager II, Recycling and Compliance
Date: May 11, 2023 Technical Advisory Committee Meeting
Subject: Update on SB 1383 Implementation

Summary
As detailed in the RethinkWaste SB 1383 Memorandum of Understanding, RethinkWaste staff has been delegated a significant portion of the SB 1383 program responsibilities.

Staff will provide updates and see TAC Member input on the following four (4) items during this meeting:

1. Collection & Processing

At the April 27 Board of Directors Meeting, the RethinkWaste Board approved a resolution expanding Recology San Mateo’s scope to include SB 1383 support services and estimated costs to be integrated into the 2024 Contractor’s Compensation Application Process submitted on June 15, 2023 (Item 6A from April 27, 2023 Board Meeting). The approval allows Recology to hire back two previously eliminated Waste Zero Specialists to support the increase in commercial and multi-family organics collection and hire a new Sustainability Coordinator to support the increased reporting and record keeping requirements of SB 1383.

Additionally, once the SCS Engineers contract expires at the end of 2023, Recology San Mateo County will provide services for Outreach and Education, Organics Collection Waiver Management, and Contamination Monitoring.

2. Outreach & Education

SCS Engineers continues to provide Outreach and Education Technical Assistance. In 2022, 2,300 commercial and multi-family dwelling generators were contacted via phone, email, or site visit to inform them of the new organics collection requirements of SB 1383. This is in addition to the non-compliance letters that were mailed on behalf of Member Agencies by RethinkWaste with help from Recology.

Roughly 5,800 generators remain non-compliant with SB 1383. SCS Engineers is set to contact another 2,300 generators in 2023. RethinkWaste plans to send out additional non-compliance letters to reach the remaining commercial and multi-family dwelling generators. RethinkWaste estimates roughly 1,100 of remaining generators may qualify for a waiver from organics collection due to low organic waste generation.

RethinkWaste staff will also discuss January 1, 2024 enforcement considerations at this TAC Meeting.
3. **Contamination Monitoring**

SB 1383 requires jurisdictions to conduct annual contamination monitoring of all three containers to keep the organics waste stream clean. In 2023, as they did in 2022, SCS Engineers will conduct this review through inspections of randomly selected containers on each collection route for solid waste, recyclable materials, and organic materials.

Additionally, RethinkWaste and SCS Engineers will educate accounts that were identified to have contamination about proper sorting of materials and consequences for future contamination and maintain records pursuant to the reporting requirements of SB 1383 regulations. SCS Engineers is set to conduct contamination monitoring route reviews in early August 2023.

RethinkWaste recognizes that there may be privacy concerns related to monitoring of containers and has identified a number of protocols to increase safety and trust in the community during contamination monitoring. This includes SCS Engineers team members wearing vests and name tags and carrying letters detailing the contamination monitoring efforts on behalf of the jurisdiction.

RethinkWaste anticipates contacting each Member Agency (RethinkWaste Board member, TAC Member, and law enforcement departments as needed) ahead of contamination monitoring route reviews similar to what was done in 2022. Included will be language about the efforts in bill inserts, newsletters, social media, etc.

RethinkWaste staff will accommodate requests for additional communication efforts provided the request is made prior to July 2023.

4. **Procurement**

There are new changes that impact SB 1383 Recycled Content Paper Procurement requirements. AB 661 (effective in 2023) repealed Section 12209 of the Public Contract Code (PCC) and replaces it with specific post-consumer recycled-content levels for certain paper products including janitorial papers and provides a process for updating the post-consumer recycled-content levels for paper products going forward. The updated PCC Section 12209 for janitorial supplies now requires paper products shall consist of at least 30 percent, by fiber weight, of postconsumer recycled content fiber, except as specified below:

- Toilet paper shall consist of at least 45 percent postconsumer recycled content.
- Paper towels shall consist of at least 40 percent postconsumer recycled content.
- Facial tissue shall consist of at least 10 percent postconsumer recycled content.
- Toilet seat covers shall consist of at least 20 percent postconsumer recycled content.
- General purpose paper wipers shall consist of at least 40 percent postconsumer recycled content.
- Food service ware, including, but not limited to, napkins, plates, bowls, food trays, takeout boxes, placemats, etc. shall consist of at least 40 percent postconsumer recycled content.

**Background**

September 2016, SB 1383 (Lara, Chapter 395, Statutes of 2016) established statewide methane emissions reduction targets in an effort to reduce emissions of short-lived climate pollutants in various sectors of California’s economy. It includes statewide goals to reduce the disposal of organic waste and recover edible food for human
consumption. To accomplish these statewide goals, SB 1383 regulations were developed, which were approved on November 3rd 2020, and include prescriptive requirements for jurisdictions related to recycling and organics collection, inspection, and enforcement policies and programs and edible food recovery. RethinkWaste and its Member Agencies need to comply with nearly all SB 1383 requirements by January 1, 2022 with the significant exception that enforcement actions do not need to commence until January 1, 2024.

More information regarding for SB 1383 can be found online at www.calrecycle.ca.gov/organics/slcp/.

**Fiscal Impact**
This is an information only report.

**Attachments:**
None
DISCUSSION ON 2022 ELECTRONIC ANNUAL REPORT (EAR)
To: RethinkWaste TAC Members  
From: Tj Carter, Program Manager II, Recycling and Compliance  
Date: May 11, 2023 Technical Advisory Committee Meeting  
Subject: Discussion on 2022 Electronic Annual Report (EAR)

Summary
This report is meant to provide the RethinkWaste Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) with an update on the process and timeline for completing the 2022 Electronic Annual Report (EAR) due August 1, 2023. RethinkWaste has retained Ascent Environmental to prepare the EAR on behalf of Member Agencies.

Analysis
RethinkWaste provides support on behalf of ten of its Member Agency in the preparation and submittal of their EAR to CalRecycle. The County of San Mateo completes their own EAR. The report is the jurisdiction’s self-assessment of its annual compliance in implementing the Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989. The report summarizes each jurisdiction’s progress in reducing solid waste as required by California State Law. Beginning in 2022, the EAR was expanded to include required reporting for the Short-Lived Climate Pollutant strategy (SLCP), also known as SB 1383.

In an effort to streamline reporting efforts and comply with SB 1383 recordkeeping requirements, RethinkWaste provides Recyclist Program Tracker software to Member Agencies. Jurisdictions, including a city, county, a city and county, or a special district that provides solid waste collection service, are to maintain all records required by the SB 1383 regulations in an Implementation Record. On behalf of Member Agencies, RethinkWaste utilizes the Recyclist Program Tracker as the SB 1383 Implementation Record for records related to the responsibilities outlined in the SB 1383 MOU with RethinkWaste. These records are exported and shared with Ascent Environmental for EAR reporting each year.

There are a few categories of records that are not maintained by RethinkWaste, but are required to be a part of the Implementation Record and included in the EAR. These include records related to: Recovered Organic Waste Product Procurement, Recycled Product Procurement, CalGreen, and Model Water Efficient Landscaping Ordinance, which must come from the Member Agency. Note, per SB 1383 regulations, some of these records need to be added to the Implementation Record within 60 days of the creation of the record and available upon request by CalRecycle within 10 business days.

The Recyclist Program Tracker software is available for Member Agencies, at no additional cost, to use as the Implementation Record for these additional categories. Maintaining records in Recyclist will not only help Member Agencies comply with the recordkeeping requirements, but also reduces the amount of information requested each year for the EAR.

Below is the anticipated schedule for completion of the 2022 EAR.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Product/ Milestone</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Request for Information (RFI) Letter sent to Member Agencies, RethinkWaste, County of</td>
<td>May 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Mateo, and Recology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receive information from Member Agencies, RethinkWaste, Recology (RFI)</td>
<td>June 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CalRecycle releases EAR and hosts annual webinar</td>
<td>Mid-June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft EAR sent to RethinkWaste for review</td>
<td>June 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft EAR sent to Member Agencies for review</td>
<td>July 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final approval from RethinkWaste and Member Agencies</td>
<td>July 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final EAR submitted to CalRecycle</td>
<td>August 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To provide as much time as possible for preparation of the EAR, by May 15, 2023 Ascent Environmental will submit to Member Agencies (TAC Members), RethinkWaste, County of San Mateo and Recology a Request for Information (RFI) to assist with reporting. The information collected will pertain to the calendar year 2022. The RFI will be based on information required in the 2021 EAR.

Anticipated RFI for Member Agencies will include:

- SB 1383 Implementation Records
  - Recovered Organic Waste Product Procurement Records
  - Recycled Product Procurement Records
  - CALGreen Activity Records
  - Model Water Efficient Landscaping Ordinance (MWELO) Project Records
- Any new or modified ordinances/policies/resolutions
  - Commercial recycling and/or multi-family ordinances;
  - Newly adopted/amended C&D ordinance (e.g., a new ordinance, a revised ordinance to capture additional diversion, or conditions of approval for large C&D projects);
  - Newly adopted and/or amended policies or resolutions (e.g., purchasing/buy recycled policies, source reduction actions, plastic bag ban, other material bans, etc.);
- Outreach and Education (in addition to RethinkWaste and Recology outreach and education efforts)
  - New educational materials (e.g., website updates, newsletters, or print materials that encourage diversion);
- New or expanded drop-off events; and
- Any other information you deem relevant to your recycling or waste reduction program implementation.

RethinkWaste and Ascent Environmental will be available during this time to help answer questions or provide more guidance on the information requested and the reporting requirements of the 2022 EAR. CalRecycle typically releases a copy of the EAR in mid-June. RethinkWaste and Ascent Environmental staff will attend the EAR walkthrough webinar provided by CalRecycle.

Ascent Environmental will provide Member Agencies with a draft copy of the EAR to review by July 10, 2023 to be approved by the Member Agency by July 21, 2023. The deadline to submit the EAR is August 1, 2023.

**Background**
CalRecycle’s Electronic Annual Report (EAR) helps jurisdictions reduce the time and effort needed to complete their legally required annual self-evaluation of solid waste diversion performance. The CalRecycle-designed
annual reporting system has been used by nearly all California cities, counties and approved regional agencies beginning with the 2000 report year.

For the 2007 report year and beyond, the report reflects the changes made to the goal measurement system as a result of the passage of Senate Bill 1016 (Wiggins, Chapter 343, Statutes of 2008). The changes mostly involved developing a new calculation to determine per capita disposal. Additionally, the electronic report has been incorporated into the Local Government Information Center (LoGIC) application.

In September 2016, SB 1383 (Lara, Chapter 395, Statutes of 2016) established statewide methane emissions reduction targets in an effort to reduce emissions of short-lived climate pollutants in various sectors of California’s economy. It includes statewide goals to reduce the disposal of organic waste and recover edible food for human consumption. To accomplish these statewide goals, SB 1383 regulations were developed, which were approved on November 3rd 2020, and include prescriptive requirements for jurisdictions related to recycling and organics collection, inspection, and enforcement policies and programs and edible food recovery. RethinkWaste and its Member Agencies need to comply with nearly all SB 1383 requirements by January 1, 2022, with the significant exception that enforcement actions do not need to commence until January 1, 2024.

More information regarding Annual Reporting Requirements can be found online at calrecycle.ca.gov/lgcentral/annualreport/

More information regarding for SB 1383 can be found online at www.calrecycle.ca.gov/organics/slcp/.

**Fiscal Impact**
This is an information only report.

**Attachments:**
None
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