



February 27, 2026

## Addendum 1: SHOREWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER CONCRETE PAD SIDE YARD DEVELOPMENTS PROJECT - SAN CARLOS, CA CONTRACT NO. 2026-02 Request for Proposals

---


The purpose of this Addendum 1 is to provide responses and clarification to questions from potential responders to RethinkWaste’s Request for Proposals for the Shoreway Environmental Center Concrete Pad and Side Yard Development Project in San Carlos, CA CONTRACT NO. 2026-02, issued January 26, 2026. Prospective proposers’ questions addressed herein include questions submitted during open proposal request period submission deadline of Wednesday, February 18, 2026 at 4 p.m.. Addendum 1 also includes the attendance sheet from the mandatory prebid site visit, held on February 9, 2026. All terms and conditions of the Request for Proposals that are not addressed in this Addendum 1 or in other formal addenda issued on behalf of RethinkWaste remain unchanged.


### 1. QUESTIONS ASKED BY PROSPECTIVE PROPOSERS

| # | Potential Proposers’ Questions  | RethinkWaste Responses   |
|---|---|--|
| 1 | Can you confirm this is a lump-sum project with no bid item schedule, and that bidders are expected to derive all quantities from the contract documents?                               | There is a schedule of bid prices per DOCUMENT 00 4113 of the contract documents. Project award will be based on the total price. Unit prices will be utilized in valuing additive and/or deductive change orders. All quantities are to be derived from DOCUMENT 00 0115 Technical Specifications and Drawings. |
| 2 | If actual quantities differ materially from what can reasonably be inferred from the plans, will this be treated as a change in work, or is that risk entirely borne by the Contractor? | All quantities are to be derived from DOCUMENT 00 0115 Technical Specifications and Drawings. If actual quantities differ materially, this will be handled through additive and/or deductive change orders.  |
| 3 | If undocumented utilities or unsuitable subgrade conditions are encountered within slab or pavement work limits, will this be treated as a Differing Site Condition?                    | Existing underground utilities and unsuitable subgrade conditions requiring extra work would be treated as “Differing Site Condition”.   |

|   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 4 | Under what circumstances does the Owner reserve the right to <b>delete scope after award</b> , and if major quantities are deleted, is there any mechanism for <b>overhead or cost recovery</b> ?  | Scope changes after award will be handled through additive and/or deductive change orders.  |
| 5 | Have bid items or major scopes been deleted on <b>past projects of similar type</b> , and if so, how were adjustments handled?   | RethinkWaste reserves the right to reject all bids and not complete any part of the project. The contract will not be finalized until the Board authorizes staff to do so. Should the board authorize the project the scope will be final at that time and notice of award will be issued. Once a contractor receives notice to proceed on the project assuming all the requirements in the Notice of Award are met, then the contractor can assign staff and begin to purchase the required materials. |
| 6 | Per Section 1.02, our understanding is that procurement and installation of the containers are <b>excluded from the Contractor's scope of work</b> . Can the Owner confirm whether this is correct, and if so, whether the <b>Owner will be furnishing and installing the containers</b> , or if they will be provided by another party? | Correct, procurement and installation of containers are excluded from the Contractor's scope of work and will be handled by the facility operator SBI.  |
| 7 | What is the <b>anticipated award date</b> and Notice to Proceed (NTP) timeline?  | Notice of Award is anticipated on March 30, 2026. The contractor will then have 20 days to supply all the required documents required in the Notice of Award. Typically notice to proceed happens within 30 days after all the requirements are met.  |
| 8 | Given the after-hours work restrictions, can the Owner confirm that <b>concrete placements may proceed uninterrupted once started</b> , including finishing and initial curing, even if work extends beyond the nominal work window?   | Concrete placements, finishing, curing, may proceed uninterrupted once started.   |
| 9 | If work hours cannot be extended, is the Contractor permitted to use <b>approved admixtures or accelerators</b> to support curing during low-temperature or restricted-hour conditions?  | Admixtures and accelerators may be used pending prior approval.   |

|    |   |  |
|----|---|--|
| 10 | Are there any <b>required phasing or sequencing constraints</b> beyond what is shown on the plans, or may the Contractor propose its own sequencing, subject to Owner approval? | Contractor may propose own sequencing pending Owner's approval.  |
| 11 | Will the Contractor be allowed to <b>temporarily restrict traffic or site access</b> within work limits during concrete placement, subject to Owner coordination and approval?  | If working after operating hours, there will be no traffic to restrict. Contractor will have full access of the work site. Requests for differing work hours will require written approval from Owner. Site access and traffic control must be coordinated with facility operator SBI. |
| 12 | Are <b>temporary traffic control plans</b> required to be prepared and stamped by the Contractor, or does the Owner provide standard details or typical sections?               | Temporary traffic control plans are expected to be provided by the Contractor.   |
| 13 | Is the <b>contractor staging area shown on the plans fixed</b> for the duration of the project, or may it be relocated based on site operations?                                | The Contractor staging area shown on the plans are not fixed and may be relocated based on site operations and based on the need/availability for covered storage. Staging areas must be coordinated with facility operator SBI.   |
| 14 | Are there <b>equipment size or weight limitations</b> for staging or access within the site?  | Equipment size and weight limited to legal wheel loads, widths, and height restrictions for highway travel.<br><br>Crane outrigger bearing pads shall be provided to limit outrigger bearing pressures to less than 10,000#.   |
| 15 | Are <b>haul routes restricted</b> by time of day or vehicle type?   | Coordinate haul routes and times with facility operator SBI.   |
| 16 | Where are the <b>known underground utilities</b> located within the work limits?  | What is known has been shown on drawings.  |
| 17 | Are there <b>recent utility locates or as-builts</b> available for bidder reference?  | No available utility relocations or as-built drawings.   |
| 18 | Have there been <b>previous utility conflicts, relocations, or repairs</b> in this area?  | Unknown.   |

|                  |  |   |
|------------------|--|---|
| <p><b>19</b></p> | <p>Are there <b>abandoned utilities</b> within or adjacent to the work limits that bidders should anticipate?</p>  | <p>Unknown.<br/>Perform utility investigations per Notes 3, 4, 5 on G1 and General Note I on CD0.</p>   |
| <p><b>20</b></p> | <p>If pre-bid investigations are limited, how does the Owner expect bidders to <b>reasonably verify subsurface conditions</b>?</p>   | <p>A geotechnical report, prepared for the MRF construction, was prepared in 2008 by BSK. It is their Job No. G07-318-11P, dated Jan. 17, 2008 and is available from the Owner upon request. Contractor is also allowed to do their own investigation at their cost with written approval from the Owner.</p> |
| <p><b>21</b></p> | <p>The plans reference <b>cast steel side twist-lock hardware, hot-dipped galvanized, or approved equal</b>. We intend to propose a <b>weld-on steel anchor lock system</b> (see attached image) as an equivalent. Since cast iron hardware is typically bolt-on and not weldable, can the Owner confirm acceptance of a <b>steel, weld-on anchor lock system</b> in lieu of the cast steel twist-lock shown, provided it meets the same functional and load requirements</p>  | <p>Steel weld-on anchor system is acceptable provided they offer the same function and load resistance as cast-iron.</p>  |
| <p><b>22</b></p> | <p>Can the Owner confirm whether a <b>red oxide primer coating</b> is acceptable in lieu of hot-dipped galvanizing, or if an alternate corrosion protection system would be required for approval?</p>   | <p>Red oxide is not acceptable as a finish coating. It is acceptable provided that two coats of an approved exterior paint is applied to primered parts.</p>  |
| <p><b>23</b></p> | <p>What is the <b>typical turnaround time for progress payments</b> once all required documentation has been submitted?</p>  | <p>RethinkWaste is a Net 30 organization. Assuming there are no issues and revisions are needed payment can be expected within 30 days.</p>   |
| <p><b>24</b></p> | <p>Are there any <b>Owner-caused delays</b> that would be considered excusable or compensable under the contract?</p>  | <p>Restrictions on hours and days of operation would be considered excusable or eligible for additional compensation if the restrictions reduce work hours and days to less than previously presented in bid documents.</p>   |

|                  |   |  |
|------------------|---|--|
| <p><b>25</b></p> | <p>For the expansion joints, the plans call for 1/2" premolded asphalt-impregnated fiberboard. Can you please confirm the required <b>dowel type/size</b> and whether there are any <b>specific filler or bond-breaker requirements</b>?</p>  | <p>Dowels are not required unless shown on plans and details.</p> <p>There are no specific fillers or bond-breaker requirements.</p>   |
| <p><b>26</b></p> | <p>Regarding the <b>existing light pole shown</b> in the attached photo: for removal of the light pole and its concrete base, is it acceptable to demolish the upper portion of the footing to approximately 3 feet below grade, or is <b>full removal of the entire footing required</b> (potentially 8 feet or deeper)?</p>  | <p>It is acceptable to demolish base to 3' below grade.</p>  |
| <p><b>27</b></p> | <p><b>Existing Light Pole Info:</b> For the existing light pole to be demolished, are the <b>pole height and fixture type</b> known/available for bidder reference? If yes, please provide.</p>   | <p>Pole height and fixture type unknown.</p>   |
| <p><b>28</b></p> | <p>On Sheet No. C1 legend calls for a 4" Concrete Slab. But on Detail E on Sheet No. CD1 shows a 6" Concrete Curb. Same on the Detail K on Sheet No. CD2.</p> <p>Please clarify if it is a 4" Slab or a concrete curb.</p>  | <p>The symbol shown on Detail 5, Dwg. C1 is a diagonal hatch designating curb. The symbol shown in the C1 Legend is a vertical hatch.</p> <p>The curbs are 6" per Details E/CD1 and K/CD2.</p> |
| <p><b>29</b></p> | <p>Regarding: the (2) new <b>115/120V electrical stub-ups to disconnect</b> shown on the plans. Are these to be connected from the existing junction box, or is the Contractor required to provide a new power source to energize this area?</p>  | <p>Connect from existing junction box.</p>   |
| <p><b>30</b></p> | <p><b>Utility Potholing / Verification:</b> Please confirm whether the Contractor is responsible for <b>utility potholing/verification</b> within the work limits prior to demolition/excavation, and whether this is required before starting work.</p>  | <p>Contractor is required to confirm site conditions per General Notes 3, 4, and 5 on Dwg. G1.</p>   |

|                  |  |  |
|------------------|--|--|
| <p><b>31</b></p> | <p><b>Unknown/Abandoned Utilities – Direction + Payment:</b> If unknown or abandoned utilities are encountered, should the Contractor <b>remove/cap</b> them or <b>leave in place</b> after making safe, and will this be treated as a <b>change in work</b>?</p>                                  | <p>If abandoned/unknown utilities are encountered, contractor shall make safe, cap, and leave in place if they do not interfere with slab construction. Otherwise they shall be removed.</p> <p>These would be treated as a change.</p>                                      |
| <p><b>32</b></p> | <p><b>Subgrade Failure / Proof-Roll Remediation:</b> Who confirms acceptance of <b>subgrade/proof-roll</b> results, and if subgrade fails (unsuitable material), what is the expected remediation approach (<b>remove/replace depth</b>), and will this be treated as a <b>change in work</b>?</p> | <p>Contractor is responsible for confirming proof-roll results. If remediation is required to replace unsuitable sub-grade it would be treated as a change. Unsuitable soils (organic material, prior fill debris) shall be removed.</p>                                     |
| <p><b>33</b></p> | <p><b>Reopen to Traffic / Strength Requirement:</b> For the new slabs/curbs, what is the minimum <b>cure time and/or compressive strength</b> required before the areas can be reopened to facility traffic and equipment?</p>   | <p>Provide a minimum cure time of 15 days prior to reopening to traffic and equipment.</p>   |
| <p><b>34</b></p> | <p><b>Concrete Mix Design Approval Timing:</b> Will the Owner/Engineer require <b>mix design submittal approval prior to the first pour</b>, and if so, what is the expected <b>review/approval turnaround time</b>?</p>   | <p>Mix design approval required 5 work days prior to first pour with a 1 day turn-around for review.</p>   |
| <p><b>35</b></p> | <p><b>Sawcut Joint Sealant:</b> Are <b>sawcut control joints</b> required to be <b>sealed</b>? If yes, please confirm the required <b>sealant type</b> and <b>installation timing</b>.</p>   | <p>No requirement for sealing sawcut control joints.</p>   |
| <p><b>36</b></p> | <p><b>Night Pours – Testing/Inspection + OT Costs:</b> If concrete is placed outside normal business hours, will the Owner’s testing/inspection agency provide coverage, or should the Contractor carry <b>overtime testing/inspection costs</b>?</p>  | <p>Contractor will provide their own testing/inspection to occur during normal business hours.</p>   |
| <p><b>37</b></p> | <p><b>Gates / Access:</b> Please confirm the designated <b>site access gate(s)</b> for crews/deliveries and the <b>gate access hours</b>.</p>  | <p>Site access will be provided through Gate 1. Crew will first check-in at the scale house via Gate 1 Main Entrance. Gate 1 is open M-F 6:00 AM – 6:00 PM and Sa-Sun 8:00 AM – 5:00 PM. Gate 1 access outside of these hours will be provided through on-site security.</p> |

|    |  |  |
|----|--|--|
| 38 | <b>Delivery Scheduling:</b> Do deliveries require advance <b>scheduling/approval</b> ? If yes, what <b>notice window</b> is required and are there <b>restricted delivery windows</b> ?  | Yes, deliveries require advance scheduling for staging area coordination. A 48 hour notice window is required. Delivery windows will be restricted to the hours of M-F 6:00 AM – 4:00 PM and Sa-Sun 8:00 AM – 5:00 PM. Deliveries will require check-in at the scale house via Gate 1 then will be directed by facility staff on where to deliver. |
| 39 | <b>Concrete Washout:</b> Please confirm where <b>concrete washout</b> is allowed and whether a designated washout area is <b>required/provided</b> .   | No washout available, Contractor to recover and dispose.   |
| 40 | <b>Water Source:</b> Will a <b>water source</b> be available onsite for curing/cleanup, or must the Contractor <b>provide water</b> ?  | Water sources will be provided.  |
| 41 | <b>Retention Rate:</b> Please confirm the <b>retention percentage</b> withheld from progress payments.   | The typical retention rate for public contracts is 5%.   |
| 42 | <b>Design Loads / Performance Criteria:</b> Please confirm the required design loads/performance criteria for the twist-lock system ( <b>tension/shear/uplift</b> ), or confirm that the specified system is acceptable without separate load justification. | The specified system is acceptable without separate submittal to confirm load capacity.  |
| 43 | <b>Welding Qualifications / Inspection:</b> If field welding is required at the twist-lock/embed plate assembly, please confirm whether <b>certified welding (AWS)</b> , welding procedures, and <b>special inspection/testing</b> are required.             | If welded connections are proposed in lieu of twist-lock assemblies, the welds shall be done using certified (AWS) welding procedures and special inspection is required.  |
| 44 | <b>Galvanizing Repair Standard:</b> Where galvanizing is damaged by welding/cutting, please confirm the required <b>galvanizing repair method</b> and acceptance standard ( <b>zinc-rich coating type</b> and minimum requirements).                         | Damaged zinc coatings shall be repaired with a zinc-rich paint conforming to ASTM A780 with a dry-film thickness of minimum 2.0 mil.   |
| 45 | <b>“Or Equal” Approval Timing:</b> Please confirm whether “or equal” substitutions must be approved <b>prior to bid</b> , or if they may be submitted <b>post-award</b> through the standard submittal process   | “Or equal” requests may be submitted post-award. However, acceptance/denial will not be considered a suitable basis for a change order.  |

|    |   |  |
|----|---|--|
| 46 | <b>Stud/Bolt Attachment Acceptance:</b> Please confirm whether the twist-lock may be attached to the embed plate using a <b>bolted/studded connection</b> (shop-installed studs), provided the <b>Simpson anchorage</b> to the concrete remains per plans.  | It is acceptable to attach the twist-lock to the embed plate using a bolted/studded connection.  |
| 47 | <b>Panel Capacity / Space:</b> Please confirm there is adequate existing panel/disconnect capacity and space available to serve the <b>(2) new 115/120V electrical stub-ups</b> , or identify if <b>new breakers/panel work</b> is required.  | Confirm location and capacity of existing disconnect serving the exterior light during pre-bid site walk.  |
| 48 | <b>Termination Point / Disconnect Scope:</b> For the <b>(2) new 115/120V electrical stub-ups to disconnect</b> , please confirm the exact <b>termination point</b> and whether the <b>disconnect is existing or new</b> .   | Confirm location and capacity of existing disconnect serving the exterior light during pre-bid site walk.  |
| 49 | <b>Disconnect Details (If New):</b> If a new disconnect is required, please confirm the required <b>type/rating, NEMA enclosure rating</b> , and whether it must be <b>lockable</b> .   | Any new disconnect shall be rated for 115/120V and a minimum Type 4 NEMA enclosure. It need not be lockable.   |
| 50 | <b>Trenching/Sawcut/Restoration Responsibility:</b> If new conduit runs are required outside the slab demo limits, please confirm who is responsible for <b>trenching/sawcutting</b> and the required <b>restoration standards</b> for concrete/asphalt patching.   | Contractor will be required for all trenching/sawcutting required to provide necessary electrical power/lighting improvements. Restoration will be to the depths/thicknesses and surface finish of existing surfaces to be trenched. |
| 51 | <b>Conduit Removal vs Abandon in Place:</b> For the existing light pole demo note “remove conduit/conductors back to (E) junction box,” please confirm whether conduit may be <b>cut/capped and abandoned in place</b> after conductors are removed, or if conduit must be <b>physically removed back to the junction box</b> . | Conduit that is not exposed by excavation and grading for the new slabs may be cut/capped and abandoned in place after removal of conductors.  |
| 52 | <b>Grounding/Bonding Requirements:</b> Please confirm whether the new stub-ups require <b>grounding/bonding</b> back to the source and whether there are any facility-specific grounding requirements.  | Provide grounding/bonding back to source with no specific facility grounding requirements.   |

|    |  |   |
|----|--|---|
| 53 | <b>Electrical Inspection Requirements Beyond Standard AHJ:</b> Please confirm whether there are any <b>special inspection/testing requirements</b> for the electrical work beyond standard AHJ inspections.      | No special electrical inspection requirements.  |
| 54 | <b>Temporary Power Availability:</b> Please confirm whether <b>temporary power</b> will be available for Contractor use onsite, or if Contractor is responsible to provide all <b>temporary power/lighting</b> . | Power will be available for Contractor use onsite. Contractor to provide their own lighting.  |
| 55 | <b>Mandatory Safety Orientation:</b> Please confirm whether there is a mandatory <b>site safety orientation/training</b> for onsite personnel (who must attend, duration, and whether onsite or offsite).        | Yes, there is a mandatory site safety orientation for all personnel who will be onsite. This will be conducted by facility operator, SBI, onsite one (1) hour prior to the first workday. |
| 56 | <b>Site Access Requirements:</b> Please confirm any <b>site access requirements</b> for crews and deliveries (check-in procedures, <b>ID requirements</b> , or orientation needed prior to access).              | All crew will check in at the scale house via Gate 1 Main Entrance.   |

**2. PREBID SITE VISIT ATTENDANCE SHEET**

See attached attendance sheet for the mandatory prebid site visit held on February 9, 2026 at 12pm.



A Public Agency

## Concrete Pad and Side Yard Development Project:

### Pre-Bid Mandatory Site Visit

#### SIGN IN SHEET

February 9, 2026 12:00PM

| NAME             | COMPANY                     | EMAIL                            | PHONE          |
|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Santana Concrete | Santana Concrete            | alexander@santana-concrete.com   | 408 500 6635   |
| Ben Giat         | BNO Builders                | bids@bnobuilders.com             | 949-287-9136   |
| Pedro Perez      | JP PAVING                   | pedro.perez@jppaving.com         | 650 642 0100   |
| MIC ELI          | JF STEA                     | MICELI@JFSTEA.COM                | 530-401-4921   |
| ERIK GONTAR      | PCG Engineering             | office@pridexgroup.co            | (916)540 8960  |
| Alan Hannon      | Kingdom Pipelines           | ahannon@kingdompipe.com          | (601) 672-9641 |
| Johnny Zarette   | Golden Bay Const.           | johnny@gbayinc.com               | 510-783-2960   |
| Ryan Barrett     | Interstate Grading + Paving | estimating@igpiinc.com           | 650.952.7333   |
| Mark Raposo      | Raposo Engineering Inc      | Mark@raposoengineering.com       | (650) 622-6152 |
| LIAM MORAN       | MINERVA CONST.              | brian@minervaconstructioninc.com | 415-991-1122   |
| JOHN GIANNOPOLIS | J ALBANO'S                  | ESTIMATING@JALBANO.COM           | 408-727-5700   |
|                  |                             |                                  |                |